United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			ORDER OF DETENTION
V.			PENDING TRIAL
Darnell Betts			Case Number: 1:05 Cr 287
facts re	In a equire	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 the detention of the defendant pending trial in this	1142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following case.
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense describe offense state or local offense that would have jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § an offense for which the maximum sentence	
	(3)	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or compara The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed imprisonment for the offense described in finding Findings Nos. (1).(2) and (3) establish a rebuttab	lant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described ble state or local offenses. ed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state since the date of conviction release of the defendant from (1). It presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will be and the community. I further find that the defendant has not
X	(1)	Alterna There is probable cause to believe that the defen	te Findings (A)
	. ,	IX for which a maximum term of imprisonment of under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumption	of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions endant as required and the safety of the community.
X	(1) (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not	ate Findings (B) appear. anger the safety of another person or the community.
		Part II – Written Stateı	nent of Reasons for Detention
	I fin	nd that the credible testimony and information subr	nitted at the hearing establish by a preponderance of the evidence that
dru crir ber	g add minal nch w	diction problem. Defendant has an extremely long record reflects over 25 failures to appear for arraig	for several years and collects Social Security Disability. He has a serious record of arrests and convictions, including two drug felony convictions. His nment, pretrial conferences and jury trial and the issuance of numerous the state courts, the last incident occurring in late January 2006, is strong dressed by conditions short of detention.
appeal the Uni	ions f . The ited S	e defendant is committed to the custody of the Atto facility separate, to the extent practicable, from per e defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportu	ons Regarding Detention rney General or his designated representative for confinement in a sons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending nity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of ent, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ppearance in connection with a court proceeding.
February 15, 2006			/s/ Joseph G. Scoville
Date			Signature of Judge
			Joseph G. Scoville, United States Magistrate Judge
			Name and Title of Judge